Constraint Grammars

Thierry Martinez

Acknowledgments to Rémy Haemmerlé for the original impulse to this work.

Contraintes Project-Team, INRIA Paris-Rocquencourt, France

21 July 2008

Outline



2 Context-Free Constraint Grammars. Definition & Applications.

3 Domain-Sensitive Constraint Grammars. Definitions & Applications.

4 Conclusions & Perspectives.

Constraint Sets.

Observational confluence

- Confluent for queries modelling a list (first arguments of list tokens are pair-wise distincts).
- Non-confluent for queries modelling a tree (with two list tokens sharing a common first argument).

Characterizing (L)CC Stores

Describing Global Constraints Given a set of constraints *S*:

• either there exists a satisfied constraint in *S*:

REGULAR (x_1, \ldots, x_n, L) with $S = \{x_1 = u_1 \land \cdots \land x_n = u_n\}_{u \in L}$

• or all the constraints of *S* are satisfied:

ALL-DIFFERENT($x_1, ..., x_n$) with $S = \{x_i \neq x_j\}_{i \neq j}$

Linear Constraint Systems.

Let *V* be a set of variables.

- If *C* is a set of formulae over the set of variables *V*, let 𝔅[*C*] be the closure of *C* by conjunction (⊗) and variable hiding (∃).
- If ⊩ is a binary relation over *C*, let *F* be the closure of ⊩ by the rules of linear logic.

Definition

A (linear) **constraint system** is a pair (C, \vdash) where:

- *C* is a set of formulae closed by conjunction (⊗) and variable hiding (∃);
- $\vdash \subseteq C^2$ is a relation closed by the rules of linear logic.

Examples of Constraint Systems

Let Σ be a signature. Let $t(\Sigma) \doteq \{f(v_1, \dots, v_n) | f/n \in \Sigma\}$.

linear-token system

The **linear-token system** over Σ is the constraint system TOK $(\Sigma) \doteq (\mathfrak{C}[t(\Sigma)], \widehat{\emptyset}).$

linear-token system with equality

The **linear-token system with equality** over Σ is the constraint system $\text{TOK}_{=}(\Sigma) \doteq (\mathfrak{C}[t(\Sigma) \cup \{!(x = y | x, y \in V)\}], \widehat{\Vdash}),$ where \Vdash is the smallest relation with the axioms of the equality theory: $\Vdash !(x = x); !(x = y) \Vdash !(y = x); !(x = y) \otimes !(y = z) \Vdash !(x = z);$ $f(x_0, \dots, x_n) \otimes !(x_0 = y_0) \otimes \dots \otimes !(x_n = y_n) \Vdash f(y_0, \dots, y_n)$

Context-Free Constraint Grammars (CFCG).

Definition

A **context-free constraint grammar** (CFCG) is a tuple $(V, C, \vdash, \Sigma, P)$ where:

- *V* is a set of variables and (C, \vdash) is a constraint-system over *V*;
- Σ is the signature of non-terminal symbols: $N \doteq \{f(y_1, \dots, y_n) | f/n \in \Sigma \text{ and } y_1, \dots, y_n \in V \text{ pair-wise distincts} \}$
- $P \subseteq N \times \overline{C}$ is the set of productions, with $\overline{C} \doteq \mathfrak{C}[C \cup N]$. Every production $(f(y_1, \dots, y_n), u) \in P$ is such that $\text{fv}(u) \subseteq \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$. A production is denoted: $f(y_1, \dots, y_n) := u$.

Example:

$$h(X, Y) ::= \text{edge}(X, Y)$$
$$h(X, Y) ::= \exists Z.\text{edge}(X, Z) \otimes h(Z, Y)$$

Derivations for CFCGs.

Let $(V, C, \vdash, \Sigma, P)$ be a context-free constraint grammar. Let $\overline{C} \doteq \mathfrak{C}[C \cup N]$.

Definition

Let $\rightarrow \subseteq \overline{C}^2$ be the smallest relation satisfying the following rules:

$$\frac{f(y_1, \dots, y_n) \coloneqq u}{(f(y_1, \dots, y_n))\sigma \to (u)\sigma} \xrightarrow{u \to v} \frac{u \to v}{\exists x. u \to \exists xv} \xrightarrow{u \to v} \frac{u \to v}{u \otimes w \to v \otimes w} \xrightarrow{w \otimes u \to w \otimes v}$$

A derivation is a sequence $(c_i)_i$ with elements in \overline{C} such that $c_0 \rightarrow c_1 \rightarrow \dots$

Example:

$$h(X, Y) ::= \text{edge}(X, Y)$$
$$h(X, Y) ::= \exists Z.\text{edge}(X, Z) \otimes h(Z, Y)$$

 $h(A, B) \rightarrow \exists X_1.edge(A, X_1) \otimes h(X_1, B)$

 $\rightarrow \exists X_1 X_2. edge(A, X_1) \otimes edge(X_1, X_2) \otimes h(X_2, B)$

 $\rightarrow \exists X_1 X_2.edge(A, X_1) \otimes edge(X_1, X_2) \otimes edge(X_2, B)$

Languages accepted by CFCGs.

Let $G \doteq (V, C, \vdash, \Sigma, P)$ be a context-free constraint grammar. Let $\overline{C} \doteq \mathfrak{C}[C \cup N]$.

Definition

The **constraint language** accepted by a schema $c_0 \in \overline{C}$ and the grammar *G* is:

 $L_G(c_0) = \{ c \in C | (\exists n) (\exists (c_i)_{0 \le i \le n}) (c_0 \to \dots \to c_n \land c_n \dashv \vdash c) \}$

Example:

h(X, Y) ::= edge(X, Y) $h(X, Y) ::= \exists Z.\text{edge}(X, Z) \otimes h(Z, Y)$

 $L_G(h(A, B)) = \{\text{hamiltonian paths from } A \text{ to } B\}$

Link with Hyperedge Replacement Graph Grammars.

Claim

Over linear token systems, context-free constraint grammars have the same expressive power than hyperedge replacement graph grammars.

H. Ehrig, M. Nagl, and G. Rozenberg, editors. *Graph Grammars and Their Application to Computer Science*, Lect. Notes Comp. Sci. 153. Springer, 1983.

Theorem (K.-J. Lange and E. Welzl 87)

Hyperedge replacement graph language membership problem is NP-complete.

Hypergraphs.

A **labelled set** \dot{E} is a tuple $(E, E^{\Sigma}, E^{\lambda})$, with E^{Σ} alphabet and $E^{\lambda} : E \to E^{\Sigma}$.

Definition

A **hypergraph** is a tuple $(V, \dot{E}, S, \rightarrow)$, where:

- V is the set of vertices; \dot{E} is the labelled set of hyperedges;
- *S* is the alphabet of selectors;
- $\rightarrow \subseteq E \times S \times V$ is the incidence relation. $(e, s, v) \in \rightarrow$ is denoted $e \xrightarrow{s} v$. \rightarrow is functional: $(\forall e \ s \ v_1 \ v_2) e \xrightarrow{s} v_1 \wedge e \xrightarrow{s} v_2 \Rightarrow v_1 = v_2$

Vertices are represented as fat dots, hyperedges as boxes.

Let $\mathcal{H}(V, E, S)$ the set of hypergraphs over V, E, S.



Embedding of Hypergraphs into Constraints. Let $H = (V, \dot{E}, S, \rightarrow)$ be a hypergraph.

- For all $e \in E$, let dom $(e) \doteq \left\{ s \in S | (\exists v \in V) (e \xrightarrow{s} v) \right\}$.
- Let $n(e) \doteq |\operatorname{dom}(e)|$ and $r_e : \{1, \ldots, n(e) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{dom}(e)\}.$
- Let $\Sigma \doteq \{ f/n \in E^{\Sigma} \times \mathbb{N} | (\exists e \in E) (f = E^{\lambda}(e) \text{ and } n = n(e)) \}.$

Definition

The **constraint-embedding** of the hypergraph *h* is the following constraint of $TOK(\Sigma)$:

$$\llbracket h \rrbracket \doteq (\exists v_1 \dots v_n) \left(\bigotimes_{e \in E \land n = n(e) \land y_i = r_e(i)} [E^{\lambda}(e)](y_1, \dots, y_n) \right)$$

Proposition

For every closed constraint $c \in TOK(\Sigma)$, there exists a hypergraph h such that

 $\llbracket h \rrbracket \dashv \vdash c$

Thierry Martinez (INRIA)

Hypergraph Isomorphism.

Definition

Two hypergraphs $h_0 \doteq (V, (E, E^{\Sigma}, E_0^{\lambda}), S, \rightarrow_0)$ and $h_1 \doteq (V, (E, E^{\Sigma}, E_1^{\lambda}), S, \rightarrow_1)$ are **isomorphic**, denoted $h_0 \simeq h_1$, when there exist $\sigma_V : V \hookrightarrow V$ and $\sigma_E : E \hookrightarrow E$ such that:

•
$$(\forall e \in E)(E_0^{\lambda}(e) = E_1^{\lambda}(\sigma_E(e)));$$

• $(\forall e \in E)(\forall s \in S)(\forall v \in V)(e \xrightarrow{s} v \iff (\sigma_E(e) \xrightarrow{s} \sigma_V(v)).$

Proposition

$$h_0 \simeq h_1 \Longleftrightarrow \llbracket h_0 \rrbracket \dashv \vdash \llbracket h_1 \rrbracket$$

Hyperedge Replacement Graph Grammars (HRGs).

Let N, V, E, E^{Σ} , S be defined accordingly to the following definition. We denote $\mathcal{H}^+(N, V, E, E^{\Sigma}, S)$ the set of **augmented hypergraphs**, that is hypergraphs whose edges are labelled with \widehat{E}^{Σ} and vertices are in \widehat{V} .

Definition

A **h-edge replacement graph grammar** is a tuple $(N, V, E, E^{\Sigma}, S, P)$, with:

- *N* is the alphabet of non-terminals, such that $E^{\Sigma} \cap N = \emptyset$; $\widehat{E}^{\Sigma} \doteq E^{\Sigma} \uplus N$;
- *V* is the set of vertices;
- *E* is the set of hyperedges and E^{Σ} the set of ground labels;
- *S* is the alphabet of selectors, such that $V \cap S = \emptyset$; $\widehat{V} \doteq V \uplus S$;
- $P \subseteq N \times \mathfrak{P}(S) \times \mathscr{H}^+(N, V, E, E^{\Sigma}, S)$ is the set of productions.



Derivations for HRGs.

Let $(N, V, E, E^{\Sigma}, S, P)$ be a hyperedge replacement graph grammar.

Definition

Let $\rightarrow \subseteq \mathscr{H}^+(N, V, E, E^{\Sigma}, S)^2$ be the relation such that: $(V_0, \dot{E}_0, S, \rightarrow_0) \rightarrow (V_1, \dot{E}_1, S, \rightarrow_1)$ iff there exist:

• a hyperedge $e \in E_0$; a production $(n, S_p, (V_p, \dot{E}_p, S, \rightarrow_p)) \in P$;

•
$$\sigma_{0 \to 1}^{E}: E_0 \setminus \{e\} \hookrightarrow E_1, \sigma_{0 \to 1}^{V}: V_0 \hookrightarrow V_1, \sigma_{p \to 1}^{E}: E_p \hookrightarrow E_1, \sigma_{p \to 1}^{V}: V_p \setminus S \hookrightarrow V_1$$

such that:

•
$$E_0^{\lambda}(e) = n; \operatorname{im}(\sigma_{0 \to 1}^E) \uplus \operatorname{im}(\sigma_{p \to 1}^E) = E_1 \text{ and } \operatorname{im}(\sigma_{0 \to 1}^V) \uplus \operatorname{im}(\sigma_{p \to 1}^V) = V_1;$$

• $(\forall e_0 \in E_0 \setminus \{e\})(\forall e_p \in E_p)(\forall s \ s' \in S)(\forall v_0 \in V_0)(\forall v_p \in V_p \setminus S)$

$$E_0^{\lambda}(e_0) = E_1^{\lambda}(\sigma_{0\to1}^E(e_0)) \qquad e_0 \stackrel{s}{\to} v_0 \Longleftrightarrow \sigma_{0\to1}^E(e_0) \stackrel{s}{\to} \sigma_{0\to1}^V(v_0) \\ e_p \stackrel{s}{\to} v_p \Longleftrightarrow \sigma_{p\to1}^E(e_p) \stackrel{s}{\to} \sigma_{p\to1}^V(v_p) \\ e \stackrel{s}{\to} v_0 \land e_p \stackrel{s'}{\to} s \Longleftrightarrow \sigma_{p\to1}^E(e_p) \stackrel{s'}{\to} \sigma_{0\to1}^V(v_0)$$

Embedding of HRGs into CFCGs.

Let $G \doteq (N, V, E, E^{\Sigma}, S, P)$ be a hyperedge replacement graph grammar.

- $\llbracket \bullet \rrbracket$ can be extended to an embedding of augmented hypergraphs into scheme of \overline{C} , with $N \doteq \{f(y_1, \dots, y_n) | (\exists h)((f, \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}, h) \in P)\};$
- therefore [[•]] can be extended to an embedding of HRG productions into context-free constraint productions;
- therefore []•]] can be extended to an embedding of HRG grammars into context-free constraint grammars.

Definition

The **hypergraph language** accepted by an augmented hypergraph $h_0 \in \mathcal{H}^+(N, V, E, E^{\Sigma}, S)$ and the grammar *G* is:

 $L_G(h_0) = \{h \in \mathcal{H}(V, E, S) | (\exists n) (\exists (h_i)_{0 \le i \le n}) (h_0 \to \dots \to h_n \land h \simeq h_n) \}$

Proposition

For all $h_0 \in \mathcal{H}^+(N, V, E, E^{\Sigma}, S)$, we have $[L_G(h)] = L_{[G]}([h])$.

With Equality Theory.

Lemma: for all constraint $c \in \text{TOK}_{=}(\Sigma)$, there exists a constraint $c' \in \text{TOK}(\Sigma) \subseteq \text{TOK}_{=}(\Sigma)$ such that $c \dashv \vdash c'$.

Proposition

For every closed constraint $c \in TOK_{=}(\Sigma)$, there exists a hypergraph h such that

 $\llbracket h \rrbracket \dashv \vdash c$

Lemma: For a schema $c \in \overline{\text{TOK}_{=}(\Sigma)}$ and a grammar G over $\text{TOK}_{=}(\Sigma)$, there exists $c' \in \overline{\text{TOK}(\Sigma)}$ and a G' over $\text{TOK}(\Sigma)$ such that:

$$L_G(c) = \left\{ h \in \text{TOK}_{=}(\Sigma) | \exists h' \in L_{G'}(c'), h \dashv \vdash h' \right\}$$

Proposition

Over $TOK_{=}(\Sigma)$, context-free constraint language membership problem is NP-complete.

Applications to Global Constraints.

Let *A* be an alphabet. Every $u = u_1 \cdots u_n \in A^*$ can be represented as a string-hypergraph:



Proposition (Habel and Kreowski 87)

Every context-free string language G can be recognized by a hyperedge replacement graph grammar $\llbracket G \rrbracket$.

Corollary: Every context-free string language G can be recognized by a context-free constraint grammar $\llbracket G \rrbracket$.

Proposition

CONTEXT-FREE $(x_1, \ldots, x_n, G) \doteq (\exists) [\![G]\!] (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$

Limitations.

Definition

A **jungle** is a hypergraph $(V, \dot{E}, \{in_k, out\}, \rightarrow)$ such that:

•
$$(\forall v \in V) \left(\left| \left\{ e \in E | e \stackrel{\text{out}}{\to} v \right\} \right| \le 1 \right);$$



F. Raiser and T. Frühwirth, *Towards Term Rewriting Systems in Constraint Handling Rules – Coming to terms with jungles*, Proceedings of the Fifth Workshop on Constraint Handling Rules, 2008.

"Corollary 2: CHR folding is terminating and confluent."

Only true if the query is a jungle!

Proposition

 $\{h \in \mathcal{H}(V, E, S) | h \text{ is a jungle} \}$ is not a hyperedge replacement graph language.

Domain-Sensitive Constraint Grammars. (1/2)

A **two-sorted signature** Σ is a subset of $F \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, where *F* is a countable symbol set. Items $(f, n, m) \in \Sigma$ are denoted f/(n, m). Let *V* and *W* be two set of variables and *L* a set of labels. The **set constraint system** is the smallest *classical* constraint system (S, \vdash_S)

such that:

 $\frac{w \in W}{w = \emptyset \in S} \quad \frac{v \in V \ w \in W}{w = \{v\} \in S} \quad \frac{v \in V \ w \in W}{(v \in w) \in S} \quad \frac{w \in W \ l \in L}{w = \text{fv} \ l \in S}$ $\frac{w_1 \in W \ w_2 \in W \ w_3 \in W}{w_1 = w_2 \ op \ w_3 \in S} \ op \in \{\cap, \cup, \setminus\}$ with $w = \{v\} \vdash_S v \in w \ w = \emptyset \vdash_S v \notin w$

and $v \in w_1 \ op_1 \ v \in w_2 \vdash_S v \in w_1 \ op_2 \ w_2$ with $(op_1, op_2) \in \{(\land, \cap); (\lor, \cup); (\land \neg, \cap)\}...$

Domain-Sensitive Constraint Grammars. (2/2)

Definition

A **domain-sensitive constraint grammar** (DSCG) is a tuple $(V, W, L, C, \vdash, \Sigma, P)$ where:

- *V*, *W* are sets of variables, *L* a set of labels;
- (C, \vdash) is a constraint-system over *V*;
- Σ is the two-sorted signature of non-terminal symbols: $N \doteq \begin{cases} l: f(y_1, \dots, y_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_m) | l \in L, f/(n, m) \in \Sigma \text{ and } \begin{cases} y_1, \dots, y_n \in V \\ Y_1, \dots, Y_m \in W \end{cases}$ p.-w. dist.
- $P \subseteq N \times \overline{C} \times S$ is the set of productions, with $\overline{C} \doteq \mathfrak{C}[C \cup N]$. A production is denoted: $f(y_1, \dots, y_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_m) ::= u|g$.

Applications of DSCGs.

Jungles Let Σ be a signature. For all $f/n \in \Sigma$: $j(r) ::= eq(r, f(x_1, ..., x_n)) \otimes l_1 : j(x_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes l_n : j(x_n) | r \notin fv(l_1) \cup \cdots \cup fv(l_n)$

all-different

$$a(S) ::= x_1 \neq x_2 | x_1 \in S \land x_2 \in S \setminus \{x_1\}$$

ALL-DIFFERENT
$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \doteq (\forall) a(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\})$$

Conclusions & Perspectives.

Objective

- Describing set of constraints;
- for observational confluence;
- for defining global constraints.

Contributions

- Definition of constraint grammar;
- as expressive as hyperedge-replacement graph grammar;
- much more easy to define;
- more general.

Future work

• Decidability and complexity analysis for other constraint systems.